

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUITRESPONSE TO NOTICE TO ADVISE OF SCHEDULING CONFLICTS

Case Number: 2024-1300

Short Case Caption: Crocs, Inc. v. ITC

Party Name(s): Appellant Crocs, Inc.

INFORMATION: The court uses this form to determine whether and when to schedule cases for oral argument. Arguing counsel may be changed later, but a motion to reschedule is required once the court schedules argument. Please plan in advance to adhere to the limit on the number of arguing counsel in Fed. Cir. R. 34(e).

Argument Waiver My party intends to waive oral argument.

NOTE: Filers checking this box must still complete the below sections. **The court may still schedule this case for oral argument even if any party intends to waive argument.** If scheduled, parties may still elect to waive argument using the response to notice of oral argument form.

Other Parties Representing Interests

Counsel for another party will represent my party's interests at oral argument

NOTE: If this box is checked, skip the remaining sections. Any argument date will be selected based on conflict dates for counsel arguing on behalf of your party.

Name of Expected Arguing Counsel	David A. Caine
---	----------------

Dates Unavailable

Do you have dates of unavailability within the specific sessions identified by the court's Notice to Advise of Scheduling Conflicts in your case?

Yes No

If yes, attach a separate sheet listing **up to ten dates** of unavailability and **include a statement showing good cause for each date.** Dates without good cause or that do not pertain to arguing counsel (e.g., client conflicts) will not be accepted. The court will only accept dates for one counsel and only if that counsel has filed an entry of appearance. The Clerk's Office will evaluate and note accepted or rejected conflict dates; counsel may contact the Clerk's Office about re-filing if dates are rejected. *See Fed. Cir. R. 34(d); Practice Notes to Rule 34.*

Potential Case Conflicts

Are there other pending cases before this court (regardless of case status) in which expected arguing counsel in this case also expects to argue?

Yes No

If yes, attach a separate sheet listing those cases.

I certify the above information and any attached statement is complete and accurate. I further certify that I will update my notice should new conflicts arise or existing conflicts change.

Date: May 20, 2025

Signature: /s/ David A. Caine

Name: David A. Caine

CROCS, INC. v. ITC
CASE NO. 2024-1300

**ATTACHMENT TO RESPONSE TO SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICE
TO ADVISE OF SCHEDULING CONFLICTS (FORM 32)**

DATES NOT AVAILABLE FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

DATE	REASON
September 2, 2025	Pretrial Conference in <i>Truesight v. Samsung Electronics</i> , Case No 2:23-cv-00643-JRG E.D. Texas, in which arguing counsel serves as trial counsel.
September 3, 2025	
September 4, 2025	
October 6, 2025	Week long preceding trial in <i>Truesight v. Samsung Electronics</i> , Case No 2:23-cv-00643-JRG E.D. Texas, in which arguing counsel serves as trial counsel.
October 7, 2025	
October 8, 2025	
October 9, 2025	
October 10, 2025	
December 1, 2025	Week long preceding trial in <i>ACQIS, LLC v. Quanta Computer, Inc.</i> , Civ. A. No. 6:23-cv-00265-ADA, W.D. Texas, in which arguing counsel serves as trial counsel.
December 2, 2025	
December 3, 2025	
December 4, 2025	
December 5, 2025	